

Accepted Manuscript

Negative Pressure Pulmonary Edema

Mallar Bhattacharya, MD, Richard H. Kallet, MS, RRT, Lorraine B. Ware, MD, Michael A. Matthay, MD



PII: S0012-3692(16)47573-2

DOI: [10.1016/j.chest.2016.03.043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2016.03.043)

Reference: CHEST 409

To appear in: *CHEST*

Received Date: 4 December 2015

Revised Date: 21 March 2016

Accepted Date: 24 March 2016

Please cite this article as: Bhattacharya M, Kallet RH, Ware LB, Matthay MA, Negative Pressure Pulmonary Edema, *CHEST* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2016.03.043.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Word Count: 3113

Submitted for: Contemporary Reviews in Critical Care Medicine

Negative Pressure Pulmonary Edema

**Mallar Bhattacharya, MD,¹ Richard H. Kallet, MS, RRT,¹ Lorraine B. Ware,³ MD
and Michael A. Matthay, MD^{1,2}**

**Departments of Medicine, Anesthesia, Respiratory Care¹ and the
Cardiovascular Research Institute² at the University of California, San
Francisco and the Division of Allergy, Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine³
at Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN**

Correspondence to:

**Michael A. Matthay MD
University of California, San Francisco
505 Parnassus Avenue
Moffitt Hospital, Room M-917**

San Francisco, CA 94143-0624

Conflict of interest statements for all authors: MAM has participated on DSMB committees for clinical trials for RocheGenentec and GlaxoSmithKline and received grant support from Amgen and GlaxoSmithKline for research studies. He has also consulted for GlaxoSmithKline, Biogen, Cerus Inc., Quark Pharmaceuticals, Global Blood Products and Amgen. All others declare no conflicts of interest.

Abstract

Negative pressure pulmonary edema (NPPE) or post-obstructive pulmonary edema is a well-described cause of acute respiratory failure that occurs after intense inspiratory effort against an obstructed airway, usually from upper airway infection, tumor, or laryngospasm. Patients with NPPE generate very negative airway pressures, which augment transvascular fluid filtration and precipitate interstitial and alveolar edema. Pulmonary edema fluid collected from most patients with NPPE has a low protein concentration, suggesting hydrostatic forces as the primary mechanism for the pathogenesis of NPPE. Supportive care should be directed at relieving the upper airway obstruction with endotracheal intubation or cricothyroidotomy, institution of lung protective positive pressure ventilation, and diuresis unless the patient is in shock. Resolution of the pulmonary edema is usually rapid, in part because alveolar fluid clearance mechanisms are intact. In this review, we discuss the clinical presentation, pathophysiology, and management of negative pressure or post-obstructive pulmonary edema.

Introduction

Negative Pressure Pulmonary Edema (NPPE) develops in patients with spontaneous respiratory effort who have upper airway obstruction and generate very negative intrathoracic pressures leading to severe hypoxemia and pulmonary edema. Multiple case series have reported cases of NPPE, also known as post-obstructive pulmonary edema. Reexpansion pulmonary edema, another uncommon form of pulmonary edema, is a separate clinical entity and is beyond the scope of our discussion.¹ In this review, we report a representative case of NPPE, describe NPPE in the characteristic clinical setting, consider the mechanisms of pulmonary edema formation in these patients, and discuss appropriate treatment.

Illustrative Case of NPPE

A 25 year-old man was admitted to hospital for facial fractures sustained in a high speed motor vehicle accident.² He was taken to the OR on hospital day 3 for repair of zygomatic arch and maxillary fractures. Preoperatively, his chest exam was normal and a chest radiograph had clear lung fields. The operative course was notable for an estimated 1.5 L blood loss requiring 7.3 L of crystalloid and 1.5 L of blood products for an overall positive fluid balance of 5.6 L. Intraoperative oxygenation and lung mechanics were normal with a PaO_2/FiO_2 of 470 mmHg and a quasi-static respiratory system compliance of 47 mL/cm H_2O . Postoperatively, the patient arrived to the recovery room breathing spontaneously on a T-piece with FiO_2 0.40. Shortly thereafter, he became agitated, biting down on the endotracheal tube, with two consecutive periods of total airway occlusion and negative inspiratory effort lasting several minutes, associated with systemic hypertension (205/95 mmHg), tachycardia (131 beats/min) and oxygen desaturation to 85%. The patient was given midazolam, morphine sulfate, droperidol, and succinyl choline to achieve sedation and paralysis, at which point control of ventilation was achieved in a volume cycled mode with an FiO_2 of 1.0. Immediately thereafter, copious frothy

secretions projected from the endotracheal tube, and the PaO_2/FiO_2 was 74 mmHg. A 3 ml sample of the undiluted pulmonary edema fluid was collected with a 14-gauge standard suction catheter. A plasma sample was also obtained. The edema fluid protein concentration was 3.0 g/100 ml and the plasma protein concentration was 6.8 g/100 ml, yielding a ratio (0.44) consistent with hydrostatic pulmonary edema (see text). The chest radiograph revealed bilateral lower lobe alveolar infiltrates, and the patient was admitted to ICU and ventilated and sedated. The hypoxemia resolved over period of 17 hours with positive pressure ventilation and diuresis, and the patient was extubated and transferred out of ICU shortly thereafter. He was discharged from the hospital four days later.

Etiology and Clinical Presentation

The case above illustrates the most salient aspect of NPPE: the rapid onset of pulmonary edema after efforts at inspiration against an obstructed airway. Since 1973 when the first clinical report of NPPE was published,³ multiple case reports and series have appeared in the literature, and several clinical causes of NPPE have been identified.⁴⁻⁶ Most of the reported cases in children have been caused by glottic or subglottic obstruction due to acute infectious croup or epiglottitis.^{7,8} In these cases, patients present with an acute upper respiratory illness and ventilatory failure due to glottic or supraglottic obstruction with prolonged stridor. Pulmonary edema is often detected after initiation of mechanical ventilation. With regard to incidence, one retrospective study of two intensive care units identified 167 children who were admitted with acute airway obstruction due to either croup or epiglottitis and required either intubation or tracheostomy.⁹ Among these 167, there were 12 patients aged 18 months to 5 years who developed NPPE (7%). In the same study, 9 children were also identified with chronic airway obstruction requiring endotracheal intubation, and in this subgroup 4 patients developed NPPE. The causes of chronic obstruction were hypertrophic redundant uvula, choanal stenosis, and tonsillar/adenoid hypertrophy.

In adults, airway obstruction leading to NPPE is most often reported in the context of post-extubation laryngospasm following surgery.⁶ The incidence of NPPE following laryngospasm is difficult to compute from case series data, although one study derived from the Australian Incident Monitoring Study (AIMS) reported 189 cases of laryngospasm in 4,000 anesthesia adverse events.¹⁰ There were 5 cases of NPPE, an incidence of 3% of those with laryngospasm. This statistic may overestimate the true incidence of NPPE, as reporting of cases in AIMS is voluntary and likely favors severe presentations over milder episodes of laryngospasm. Indeed, other estimates of the incidence of NPPE have ranged as low as 0.1% of laryngospasm cases.¹¹ Of note, one study reported a higher incidence of NPPE among men (80% of NPPE cases) following laryngospasm, and in patients with an ASA status I or II (73% of NPPE cases). The authors postulate that this finding may relate to higher negative thoracic pressures achieved by healthy male patients.¹¹

The most common causes of NPPE—upper airway infection, tumor and laryngospasm—lead to cases that present in the post-anesthesia, emergency department, and pediatric intensive care settings. In the medical intensive care unit, NPPE is uncommon but can be encountered, and it should be considered in the differential diagnosis for unexplained pulmonary edema. One particularly instructive report described 5 cases of NPPE over a four-year period in the medical ICU at a 1500-bed tertiary care hospital: the clinical causes were acute epiglottitis, post-stenting for bronchial stenosis, strangulation, goitrous obstruction, and obstruction from prolonged biting of the endotracheal tube.¹² Indeed, as we have noted in a previous review,¹³ the case series literature on NPPE reveals nearly as much diversity in the causes of NPPE as potential causes of upper airway obstruction: foreign-body aspiration, hypothyroidism, inspissated tracheal secretions, hiccups, thyroid goiter, temporomandibular joint arthroscopy, difficult intubation, hematoma, upper airway tumor, oropharyngeal surgery, Ludwig angina, acromegaly, mediastinal tumor, biting the endotracheal tube or laryngeal mask, and severe patient-ventilator asynchrony.¹⁴⁻²² Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) has been reported as a cause

for NPPE, although heart failure and pulmonary edema are frequently comorbid with OSA, and thus distinguishing the contributions of hypoxemia, obesity, diastolic dysfunction, and airway obstruction is challenging. However, it is worth noting that in cases of unexplained postoperative pulmonary edema in patients without a history of laryngospasm, undiagnosed OSA should be considered.^{23,24}

Pathophysiology of Edema Formation

The pathophysiology of NPPE stems from the markedly negative thoracic pressure induced by inspiratory effort against an obstructed glottis, known as the Müller maneuver. Healthy adults can generate as much as -140 cm H₂O negative inspiratory pressure.²⁵ However, early reports were conflicting as to whether high negative inspiratory pressures result in high-permeability or hydrostatic edema formation. The primary determinants of the rate of pulmonary edema formation, or fluid flux from the capillary to the alveolar interstitium, are transvascular hydrostatic and protein osmotic pressure gradients and vascular permeability, as modeled by the Starling equation for transcapillary fluid flux:

$$Q_f = K[(P_{mv} - P_i) - \sigma(\pi_{mv} - \pi_i)]$$

where Q_f is net fluid flux from the capillary lumen to the alveolar interstitium; K is the coefficient of capillary permeability; P_{mv} is the capillary lumen hydrostatic pressure; P_i is the alveolar interstitial hydrostatic pressure; σ is the reflection coefficient (the effectiveness of the vascular barrier in preventing diffusion of protein); π_{mv} is the microvascular protein osmotic pressure; and π_i is the interstitial protein osmotic pressure.²⁶

The normal hydrostatic difference between the intravascular and extravascular compartments in the lung favors steady state fluid filtration from the capillaries into the interstitium; this filtrate is cleared by the lung lymphatics. If a positive balance develops from increases in either the hydrostatic or the protein osmotic gradient, lung lymphatic flow rises.

When the rate of interstitial fluid accumulation outpaces the capacity for lymphatic drainage, edema fluid accumulates in the interstitium and floods the alveolus, becoming clinically detectable either by arterial oxygen desaturation, new opacities on chest radiograph, the appearance of edema fluid in the endotracheal tube, or expectoration in the non-intubated patient.

Several studies have analyzed the pulmonary edema fluid in patients with NPPE.^{13,22,27} In the largest of these studies, 341 patients requiring mechanical ventilation between 1982 and 2002 at the University of California, San Francisco were retrospectively screened for NPPE.¹³ There were 10 patients with “postobstructive pulmonary edema” as the diagnosis. Of these 10 patients, 8 had postoperative laryngospasm, 1 had a foreign body aspiration, and 1 had severe ventilator asynchrony with inspiratory attempts against a closed inspiratory valve. Pulmonary edema fluid, which had been collected by suctioning through the endotracheal tube, and simultaneous plasma samples were analyzed for the total protein concentration. The average edema fluid protein/plasma protein ratio was 0.54 ± 0.15 , a value that is below the standard cutoff of 0.65 for hydrostatic versus high-permeability edema and favors a hydrostatic physiology for accumulation of pulmonary edema in NPPE (Table).²⁸⁻³⁰ However, it is worth noting that 3 of the 10 patients had values above this cutoff (0.66, 0.69, 0.80), suggesting increased microvascular permeability in some cases. Indeed, the occasional report of blood tinged secretions in NPPE^{31,32} could be consistent with pressure-induced stress fracture of capillaries in those cases, potentially providing a mechanism for a high-permeability edema. Alternatively, in some cases, a relative delay of edema fluid collection could result in samples with higher protein concentration because of alveolar clearance of water and ions but not protein.

In order to model the hemodynamics and edema formation in an experimental system, Loyd et al.³³ applied inspiratory resistance loading while measuring lymph flow and lymph/plasma protein concentration ratios in awake sheep. Mean airway pressures of between -

10 and -15 cm H₂O were achieved continuously. After initiation of inspiratory resistance loading, lymph flow increased, and the lung lymph/plasma protein concentration ratio declined from 0.56 to 0.51, with a nadir of 0.47 after one hour. These data are consistent with a low-protein, hydrostatic physiology of edema of formation (Figure 1). Interestingly, lung lymph/plasma protein concentrations rose at the end of the period of resistance loading, possibly suggesting removal of solute and water to the interstitial space by alveolar fluid clearance.³⁴

Of note, during the experiment, pulmonary artery pressures remained unchanged. This finding is not unexpected since the pulmonary artery is buffered against pressure decrease by systemic venous return. Given that interstitial pressures become negative in the setting of negative airway and pleural pressures, the hydrostatic pressure gradient was increased, leading to transcapillary flux by the Starling equation above. One caveat to interpreting the Loyd study in a clinical context is that the pressures applied were small (-10 to -15 cm H₂O), whereas patients attempting to breathe across an obstructed glottis generate much more negative pressures. Negative pleural pressure from respiratory effort is transmitted to the pericardium and thus increases transmural pressures across the cardiac chambers, raising myocardial wall stress and afterload by the LaPlace principle. Furthermore, as in the clinical case, agitation leads to a hyperadrenergic state and systemic hypertension, serving to further increase ventricular afterload. Thus, while PA pressures were reported as unchanged in the Loyd study, pulmonary pressures may, in fact, be elevated in clinical cases of NPPE because of impaired left ventricular performance or elevated left atrial pressure, further increasing the hydrostatic gradient in the lung microvasculature.

Demonstrating this effect of the Müller maneuver on left ventricular function, Scharf et al. reported that ejection fraction measured by left ventricular contrast angiography decreased with inspiratory effort against a closed glottis in patients with angina.³⁵ Thus, NPPE is likely to be more pronounced in patients with structural heart disease. Moreover, given that negative pressures in patients with NPPE are likely much higher than the modest maneuver used by

Sharf et al (20-30 cm H₂O), the effects on afterload may depress LV performance in many if not all patients with NPPE, even in the absence of heart disease. Figure 2 illustrates these possible mechanisms of hydrostatic transvascular gradient formation.

Resolution of pulmonary edema

Most cases of NPPE resolve rapidly, within 24-48 hours, probably because of the absence of a persistent hydrostatic stress. Moreover, patients who are endotracheally intubated with positive pressure ventilation are relieved of their inspiratory resistive load as long as the ventilator-set inspiratory flow rate exceeds patient demand and they do not occlude the tube by biting.³⁶ The resolution of pulmonary edema has been well-studied and is driven by vectorial ion transport.³⁷ Sodium is taken up by apical sodium channels on alveolar epithelial type 1 and 2 cells; while the basolateral sodium-potassium ATPase drives transport of the sodium from the epithelial cells into the lung interstitium. This creates an osmotic gradient for reabsorption of water from the alveoli into the interstitium where lung lymphatics remove the fluid from the lung. The net rate of alveolar fluid clearance can be quantified by measurement of protein concentrations in serial samples of pulmonary edema fluid. Because alveolar protein clearance occurs at a much slower rate compared to alveolar fluid or liquid clearance, an increase in the edema fluid protein concentration normally indicates net alveolar fluid clearance.³⁷ In patients with hydrostatic pulmonary edema, such as left heart failure, the normal rate of alveolar fluid clearance (10-20% per hour) is preserved, whereas in patients with a high permeability edema such as with acute lung injury, the rate of clearance is impaired.^{29,38} In the pulmonary edema fluid samples analyzed by Fremont et al.¹³, the average rate of alveolar fluid clearance in 10 NPPE patients was $14 \pm 17\%/hr$. While some patients had lower values (see Table), this average is well within the range of alveolar fluid clearance reported in patients with hydrostatic pulmonary edema.²⁹

By comparison, the majority of patients with pulmonary edema in the setting of acute lung injury (a high permeability edema) have impaired alveolar fluid clearance (0-3%/hr).³⁸ In NPPE, it is possible that the rate of alveolar fluid clearance is enhanced during the acute phase when patients may have markedly elevated levels of plasma epinephrine associated with the severe hypoxemia and also hypercapnea that occurs with upper airway obstruction.³⁷ Elevated endogenous catecholamines accelerate the rate of alveolar fluid clearance by stimulating sodium absorption from the alveolus into the interstitium.^{37,39}

Treatment

Endotracheal intubation and positive pressure ventilation with supplemental oxygen are usually required for NPPE, because patients present with upper airway obstruction. In some cases, as discussed above, patients develop NPPE while already intubated after prolonged biting or obstruction of the endotracheal tube. In these patients, sedation and paralysis are paramount. Another major challenge in the acute clinical setting is how to institute positive pressure ventilation if upper airway obstruction makes endotracheal intubation difficult. In the post-operative setting of glottic or subglottic edema, endotracheal re-intubation is usually not difficult. However, in patients who present with acute epiglottitis, endotracheal intubation may be very challenging. In these circumstances, clinicians need to be prepared to obtain adequate airway access rapidly with a cricothyroidotomy or tracheotomy.^{40,41}

Once the airway is secured, the inciting cause of NPPE, negative airway pressure, is alleviated by positive pressure mechanical ventilation. In most cases, NPPE resolves within 24-48 hours.¹³ However, clinicians may occasionally be confronted with severe hypoxemia due to massive pulmonary edema and resulting shunt. Several general therapies for acute pulmonary edema could be considered in this setting:

- i) Diuretics are standard of care in heart failure associated pulmonary edema and are useful in patients with ARDS to achieve a fluid conservative strategy when the patient is

not in shock.⁴² In NPPE, vascular pressures can be elevated, as discussed above; diuresis is unlikely to be harmful, volume status and renal function permitting, and may hasten the resolution of pulmonary edema. Point of care measures such as cardiac and inferior vena cava ultrasound, as well as central venous pressures, can be useful guides to diuretic therapy in addition to monitoring of oxygenation saturation and chest radiographic changes.

- ii) Low tidal volume ventilation, while as yet unstudied in NPPE, is recommended unless there is a specific contraindication. This recommendation is based on evidence that lung protective ventilation may prevent the development of ventilator-associated lung injury even in patients without ARDS. In one study, for example, patients at risk for pulmonary complications were randomized during upper abdominal surgery to either low tidal volume ventilation or ventilation with higher volumes reflecting the pre-lung protective ventilation era; those randomized to the low tidal volume strategy had a lower incidence of major pulmonary and extrapulmonary complications as well as a lower average length of stay in hospital.⁴³ A recent meta-analysis of twenty studies of over 2800 patients without ARDS found benefit of low tidal volume with regard to both development of lung injury and mortality.⁴⁴
- iii) Beta agonists, while they have not been studied specifically in NPPE, have been shown in preclinical models to improve alveolar fluid clearance by augmentation of vectorial ion transport and, clinically, to have prophylactic benefit in high altitude pulmonary edema.^{45,46} While a large randomized controlled trial showed no benefit in ARDS,⁴⁷ alveolar fluid clearance mechanisms remain intact in NPPE compared with ARDS.¹³ In other words, in NPPE, given relatively intact alveolar epithelial physiology, there may be an opportunity for augmentation of vectorial ion transport with beta agonists.

- iv) Rescue therapies for severe and refractory hypoxemia should be considered early, if appropriate, including neuromuscular blockade, proning, and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

Conclusions

NPPE develops most commonly in adults in the setting of laryngospasm after anesthesia for surgical procedures, whereas in children NPPE is more commonly associated with upper respiratory infections such as epiglottitis that cause upper airway obstruction and inspiratory stridor. Patients with NPPE usually require endotracheal intubation or a tracheotomy to bypass the upper airway obstruction and then positive pressure ventilation with supplemental oxygen. Once airway obstruction has been relieved, the resolution of pulmonary edema and respiratory failure usually occurs within 48 hours. Therapy should include lung protective ventilation with tidal volume of 6 ml/kg/predicted body weight and a plateau airway pressure less than 30 cmH₂O. Diuresis may also hasten the resolution of pulmonary edema by reducing lung vascular pressures. The pulmonary edema fluid in most cases has a low protein concentration, supporting a hydrostatic mechanism for edema formation in NPPE.

References

1. Sue RD, Matthay MA, Ware LB. Hydrostatic mechanisms may contribute to the pathogenesis of human re-expansion pulmonary edema. *Intensive Care Med.* 2004;30(10):1921-6.
2. Kallet RH, Daniel BM, Gropper M, et al. Acute pulmonary edema following upper airway obstruction: case reports and brief review. *Respir Care.* 1998;43:476-480.
3. Capitanio MA, Kirkpatrick JA. Obstructions of the upper airway in children as reflected on the chest radiograph. *Radiology.* 1973;107(1):159-61.
4. Timby J, Reed C, Zeilender S, Glauser FL. "Mechanical" causes of pulmonary edema. *Chest.* 1990;98(4):973-9.
5. Dicipinigitis PV, Mehta DC. Postobstructive pulmonary edema induced by endotracheal tube occlusion. *Intensive Care Med.* 1995;21(12):1048-50.
6. Udeshi A, Cantie SM, Pierre E. Postobstructive pulmonary edema. *J Crit Care.* 2010;25(3):508.e1-5.
7. Travis KW, Todres ID, Shannon DC. Pulmonary edema associated with croup and epiglottitis. *Pediatrics.* 1977;59(5):695-8.
8. Hurley RM, Kearns JR. Pulmonary edema and croup. *Pediatrics.* 1980;65(4):860.
9. Galvis AG. Pulmonary edema complicating relief of upper airway obstruction. *Am J Emerg Med.* 1987;5(4):294-7.
10. Visvanathan T, Kluger MT, Webb RK, Westhorpe RN. Crisis management during anaesthesia: laryngospasm. *Qual Saf Health Care.* 2005;14(3):e3.
11. Deepika K, Kenaan CA, Barrocas AM, et al. Negative pressure pulmonary edema after acute upper airway obstruction. *J Clin Anesth.* 1997;9:403-408.
12. Koh MS, Hsu AA, Eng P. Negative pressure pulmonary oedema in the medical intensive care unit. *Intensive Care Med.* 2003;29(9):1601-4.
13. Fremont RD, Kallet RH, Matthay MA, Ware LB. Postobstructive Pulmonary Edema: A

- Case for Hydrostatic Mechanisms. *Chest*. 2007;131:1742-1746.
14. Oswalt CE, Gates GA, Homstrom MG. Pulmonary edema as a complication of acute airway obstruction. *JAMA*. 1977;238:1833-1835.
 15. Louis PJ, Fernandes R. Negative pressure pulmonary edema. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2002;93:4-6.
 16. Zulueta JJ, Gerblich AA. Upper airway obstruction due to inhalation of a tracheal T-tube resulting in pulmonary edema. *Chest*. 1992;102:644-645.
 17. Stuth EA, Stucke AG, Berens RJ. Negative-pressure pulmonary edema in a child with hiccups during induction. *Anesthesiology*. 2000;93:282-284.
 18. Hendler BH, Levin LM. Postobstructive pulmonary edema as a sequela of temporomandibular joint arthroscopy: a case report. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 1993;51:315-317.
 19. □ Cascade PN, Alexander GD, Mackie DS. Negative-pressure pulmonary edema after endotracheal intubation. *Radiology*. 1993;186:671-675.
 20. Westreich R, Sampson I, Shaari CM, et al. Negative-pressure pulmonary edema after routine septorhinoplasty. *Arch Facial Plast Surg*. 2006;8:8-15.
 21. Devys JM, Balleau C, Jayr C, et al. Biting the laryngeal mask: an unusual cause of negative pressure pulmonary edema. *Can J Anaesth*. 2000;47:176–178.
 22. Kallet RH, Alonso JA, Luce JM, et al. Exacerbation of acute pulmonary edema during assisted mechanical ventilation using a low-tidal volume, lung-protective strategy. *Chest*. 1999;116:1826-1832. □
 23. Lopez A, Lorente JA, Jerez V, et al. Sleep apnea, hypothyroidism and pulmonary edema. *Chest*. 1990;97:763-764.
 24. Medford AR. Negative pressure pulmonary edema: consider undiagnosed obstructive sleep apnea too. *Chest*. 2012;141(5):1365.
 25. Cook CD, Mead J. Maximum and minimum airway pressures at various lung volumes in

- normal children and adults. *Fed Proc.* 1960;19:377.
26. Staub NC. Pulmonary edema. *Physiol Rev.* 1974;54(3):678-811.
 27. Kollef MH, Pluss J. Noncardiogenic pulmonary edema following upper airway obstruction: 7 cases and review of the literature. *Medicine.* 1991;70:91–98.
 28. Fein A, Grossman RF, Jones JG, Overland E, Pitts L, Murray JF, Staub NC. The value of edema fluid protein measurement in patients with pulmonary edema. *Am J Med.* 1979;67(1):32-8.
 29. Verghese GM, Ware LB, Matthay BA, Matthay MA. Alveolar epithelial fluid transport and the resolution of clinically severe hydrostatic pulmonary edema. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 1999;87(4):1301-12.
 30. Ware LB, Fremont RD, Bastarache JA, Calfee CS, Matthay MA. Determining the aetiology of pulmonary oedema by the oedema fluid-to-plasma protein ratio. *Eur Respir J.* 2010;35(2):331-7.
 31. Koch SM, Abramson DC, Ford M, Peterson D, Katz J. Bronchoscopic findings in post-obstructive pulmonary edema. *Can J Anaesth* 1996;42(1):73-6.
 32. Bhavani-Shankar K, Hart NS, Mushlin PS. Clinical report: Negative pressure induced airway and pulmonary injury. *Can J Anaesth* 1997;44(1):78-81.
 33. Loyd JE, Nolop KB, Parker RE, et al. Effects of inspiratory resistance loading on lung fluid balance in awake sheep. *J Appl Physiol.* 1986;60:198–203.
 34. Matthay MA, Landolt CC, Staub NC. Differential liquid and protein clearance from the alveoli of anesthetized sheep. *J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol.* 1982;53(1):96-104.
 35. Scharf SM, Woods BO, Brown R, Parisi A, Miller MM, Tow DE. Effects of the Mueller maneuver on global and regional left ventricular function in angina pectoris with or without previous myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* 1987;59(15):1305-9.
 36. Kallet RH, Alonso JA, Diaz M, Campbell AR, Mackersie RC, Katz JA. The effect of tidal

- volume demand on work of breathing during simulated lung-protective ventilation. *Respir Care*. 2002;47(8):898-909.
37. Matthay MA. Resolution of pulmonary edema. Thirty years of progress. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2014;189(11):1301-8.
38. Ware LB, Matthay MA. Alveolar fluid clearance is impaired in the majority of patients with acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2001;163:1376–1383.
39. Frank JA, Briot R, Lee JW, Ishizaka A, Uchida T, Matthay MA. Physiological and biochemical markers of alveolar epithelial barrier dysfunction in perfused human lungs. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*. 2007;293(1):L52-9.
40. Black AE, Flynn PE, Smith HL, Thomas ML, Wilkinson KA. Development of a guideline for the management of the unanticipated difficult airway in pediatric practice. *Paediatr Anaesth*. 2015; 25(4):346-62.
41. Niven AS, Doerschug KC. Techniques for the difficult airway. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2013;19(1):9-15.
42. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) Clinical Trials Network, Wiedemann HP, Wheeler AP, Bernard GR, et al. Comparison of two fluid-management strategies in acute lung injury. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;354(24):2564-75.
43. Futier E, Constantin JM, Paugam-Burtz C et al. A trial of intraoperative low-tidal-volume ventilation in abdominal surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369(5):428-37.
44. Serpa Neto A, Cardoso SO, Manetta JA et al. Association between use of lung-protective ventilation with lower tidal volumes and clinical outcomes among patients without acute respiratory distress syndrome: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2012;308(16):1651.
45. Berthiaume Y, Folkesson HG, Matthay MA. Lung edema clearance: 20 years of

- progress: invited review: alveolar edema fluid clearance in the injured lung. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 2002;93(6):2207-13.
46. Sartori C, Allemann Y, Duplain H et al. Salmeterol for the prevention of high-altitude pulmonary edema. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346(21):1631-6.
47. Matthay MA, Brower RG, Carson S et al. Randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial of an aerosolized β_2 agonist for treatment of acute lung injury. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2011;184(5):561-8.

Figure and Table Legends

Figure 1. Negative Pressure Pulmonary Edema in a Sheep Model Sheep were intubated and mechanically ventilated. At time zero, an inspiratory resistive load of -20 cm H₂O was applied to the airway circuit, with measurement of lung lymphatic flow (A) and lung lymph and plasma protein concentrations (B; n=7). Reproduced and adapted with permission from Reference 32.

Figure 2. The Alveolocapillary Unit In health (left), the alveolus remains fluid-free, because liquid filtered by Starling transcapillary forces is cleared by interstitial lymphatics. In NPPE (right), negative interstitial pressure results in an increased hydrostatic gradient and alveolar flooding. The afterload-increasing effect of the Müller maneuver increases this gradient because of elevated left ventricular, left atrial, and thus pulmonary capillary pressures. P_i =interstitial pressure. P_{mv} = microvascular pressure.

Table. Laboratory measurements in 10 patients with NPPE Reproduced with permission from Reference 12.

TABLE

Patient	Edema Fluid Protein, g/dL	Plasma Protein, g/dL	Edema Fluid/Plasma Protein Ratio	Alveolar Fluid Clearance, % clearance/hr
1	1.17	3.87	0.30	7.3
2	2.38	5.53	0.43	57.1
3	3.00	6.88	0.44	2.7
4	1.53	3.43	0.45	21.0
5	2.06	4.41	0.47	27.3
6	3.18	6.14	0.52	7.0
7	3.62	5.71	0.63	1.6
8	4.62	6.06	0.66	9.3
9	2.72	3.94	0.69	4.3
10	4.72	5.89	0.80	2.4

FIGURE 1



